ANNEX 2: MONITORING AND EVALAUATION PLAN

Guiana Shield Facility: Planning Matrix for Monitoring and Evaluation

Regional Outcome: Biodiversity protected through the implementation of valuation methodologies, payment of environmental services and adoption of new technologies

Expected Results (Outputs)	Indicators (with baselines & Indicative Targets) and other key areas to Monitor	M&E Event with data collection methods	Time or schedule or Frequency	Responsibilities	MOV	Resources	Risks for Monitoring Process
Output 1 - The GSF established as a long-term forum and vehicle to address national and in particular regional environmental issues related to management of the ecosystems of the Guiana Shield eco-region	Indicator: Number of countries that have signed the GSF Baseline 2011: 3 countries (Guyana, Suriname and Colombia) Target 2014: Six countries (French Guiana, Venezuela and the Federal Government of Brazil	Annual Project Review Meeting Regional Advisory Board Meetings: Report from Chief Technical Advisor	At end of each fiscal year	GSF Secretariat and RAB members, M&E Officers	GSF approved Project Document with signatures from GSF countries	N/A	None
	Indicator: Existence of an agreement with a suitable host institution to absorb the GSF Baseline 2011: There is no agreement with a suitable regional institution for absorbing Guiana	Annual Project Review Meeting	At the end of year	GSF Secretariat, RAB, UNDP DRR and M&E Analysts	Signed agreement between UNDP and a regional institution	N/A	None

Regional Outcome: Biodiversity protected through the implementation of valuation methodologies, payment of environmental services and adoption of new technologies

Regional Outcome Indicators'/A

Expected Results (Outputs)	Indicators (with baselines & Indicative Targets) and other key areas to Monitor	M&E Event with data collection methods	Time or schedule or Frequency	Responsibilities	MOV	Resources	Risks for Monitoring Process
	Shield Facility Target 2013: A signed agreement with an institution to be identified by 2013						
	Indicator: Number of agreements with beneficiary organizations and other partners Baseline 2012: no agreements at time of launch Target 2014: 2 agreements (to date 11 agreements have	Annual Project Review Meeting	End of the calendar year	M&E Officers, GSF Secretariat and Partners	Signed agreements with beneficiary organizations and partners	N/A	None

been signed to date)

Regional Outcome: Biodiversity protected through the implementation of valuation methodologies, payment of environmental services and adoption of new technologies

Expected Results (Outputs)	Indicators (with baselines & Indicative Targets) and other key areas to Monitor	M&E Event with data collection methods	Time or schedule or Frequency	Responsibilities	MOV	Resources	Risks for Monitoring Process
	Indicator: Perception of the key national decision makers of the importance of the GSF to achievement of national and regional conservation priorities Baseline 2012: 37 percent of survey respondents perceive GSF as an important mechanism for national and regional conservation priorities Target 2014: 80 percent of survey respondents perceive GSF as an important mechanism for achievement of survey respondents perceive GSF as an important mechanism for achievement of national and regional conservation priorities	Baseline survey on perceptions of key national decision makers of the importance of GSF in the first 3 months of full project and repeated in last quarter of 2014	First quarter of new project and last quarter of 2012	Consultants, M&E Officers	Survey report	\$\$	Slow delivery

<u>Regional Outcome</u>: Biodiversity protected through the implementation of valuation methodologies, payment of environmental services and adoption of new technologies

Expected Results (Outputs)	Indicators (with baselines & Indicative Targets) and other key areas to Monitor	M&E Event with data collection methods	Time or schedule or Frequency	Responsibilities	MOV	Resources	Risks for Monitoring Process
	Indicator: Number of men and women served by project and the role of women in projects Baseline 2011: 0 Target 2014: To be Defined 338 (Guyana 71 males, 48 females; Colombia 57 males, 52 females; Suriname 74 males, 36 females)	Quarterly project reports Joint Field visits by M&E Officers, RAB members to each project site to observe gender roles and contributions	Semi-annually	M&E Officers and GSF Secretariat, RAB and donors	Monthly or Quarterly project reports	\$10,000.00	Gender concerns are not sufficiently mainstreamed or addressed in funded projects
2) The GSF maintained as a sustainable financial mechanism for the conservation and sustainable development of the Guiana Shield ecoregion and its ecosystem services	Indicator: The percentage of financial pledges received from donors as a percentage of total funds projected. Baseline: 100% of current financial commitments come from donors Target: 40% of funds are pledged by donors	Quarterly and Annual Project reports RAB Meeting	Semi-annually	M&E Officers, RAB, GSF Secretariat, donors	Approved/Au dited financial reports	None	None

Regional Outcome: Biodiversity protected through the implementation of valuation methodologies, payment of environmental services and adoption of new technologies

Expected Results (Outputs)	Indicators (with baselines & Indicative Targets) and other key areas to Monitor	M&E Event with data collection methods	Time or schedule or Frequency	Responsibilities	MOV	Resources	Risks for Monitoring Process
	Indicator: Percentage of funds generated from payment from eco-system services and other mechanisms Baseline: 0% Target: 10% of funds are earned from PES	Quarterly Narrative and financial Project Report, Annual narrative and financial reports and Annual Project Review	Every six months, end of year	GSF Secretariat, UNDP DRR, RAB and M&E Officers	Approved/Au dited financial reports	None	None
	Indicator: Common agreement on mechanism for the distribution of financial resources Baseline 2011: There is no agreement among Governments of the GS eco-region on distribution of financial resources Target 2014: A signed agreement by 6 countries on distribution of the GSF financial resources	Annual Project Review meeting RAB Meeting	End of year	M&E Officers and GSF Secretariat, UNDP DRR, RAB	A signed agreement Minutes of RAB meeting Minutes of MSSC meetings	None	None

Regional Outcome: Biodiversity protected through the implementation of valuation methodologies, payment of environmental services and adoption of new technologies

Expected Results (Outputs)	Indicators (with baselines & Indicative Targets) and other key areas to Monitor	M&E Event with data collection methods	Time or schedule or Frequency	Responsibilities	MOV	Resources	Risks for Monitoring Process
Output 3. Enhanced knowledge and capacity developed of key stakeholder institutions to conserve and sustainably develop the Guiana Shield eco-region	Indicator: Media coverage (newspapers, scientific articles and speeches) of Guiana Shield Project(s) in GS member countries Baseline 2011: Very little coverage is currently given to ecological issues from an eco-regional perspective in GS countries Target: GS conservation issues reported on once (1) monthly in each GS country	Review of newspapers and other sources of media	Monthly	GSF Secretariat	Newspaper clippings, journals, speeches, audio/visual tape recordings	Communications Resourcepeople etc	Limited capacity for media monitoring across the ecoregion within the GSF Secretariat
	Indicator: Number of hits to GSF website disaggregated by GSF country Baseline 2011: 0 Target 2014: Guyana 1049; Brazil 426; Colombia 269; Suriname 31;	Quarterly Project Review (Quarterly reports)	End of each quarter	GSF Secretariat, M&E Officers	Online tracker, Quarterly reports	None	None

Regional Outcome: Biodiversity protected through the implementation of valuation methodologies, payment of environmental services and adoption of new technologies

Expected Results (Outputs)	Indicators (with baselines & Indicative Targets) and other key areas to Monitor	M&E Event with data collection methods	Time or schedule or Frequency	Responsibilities	MOV	Resources	Risks for Monitoring Process
	Venezuela 148; French Guiana 451						
	Indicator: Number of public and community institutions regionally that are more effective after accessing capacity development support Baseline 2011: At present GSF is not providing neither technical nor financial support to any institution in the ecoregion Target 2014: All	Capacity Assessment of institutions that are beneficiaries of capacity development support	Last quarter in 2012 and third quarter in 2014	GSF secretariat and M&E Officers/Capacity Development focal points in UNDP COs	Capacity Assessment reports	70,000	None
	Indicator: Number of GS countries using monitoring data collected by GSF for planning and decision making Baseline: 0 Target: 6	Field Visits, survey among GS members countries	Quarterly	GSF Secretariat, M&E Officers, MSSC	Reports and minutes of meeting from National Multi-stakeholder Steering Committees	USD 10,000	None

<u>Regional Outcome</u>: Biodiversity protected through the implementation of valuation methodologies, payment of environmental services and adoption of new technologies

Expected Results (Outputs)	Indicators (with baselines & Indicative Targets) and other key areas to Monitor	M&E Event with data collection methods	Time or schedule or Frequency	Responsibilities	MOV	Resources	Risks for Monitoring Process
	Indicator: Number of GS countries that are able to prepare quality reports to MEAs Baseline: (0) Target: 6	Technical review of GS reports under the MEAs	2012 and 2014	GSF Secretariat, Consultants, E&E Officers of UNDP GS Country Offices	MEA reports	USD 30,000	None